

Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/665,757

PERELESS ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Jonathan Ouellette

3629

	Jonathan Ouellette	3629	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Jonathan Ouellette</u> .	(3) Lis. 4 K. Norto N		
(2) <u>Tom Dixon</u> .	(4) STEVE De Pasquele (5) CHRISTOPHER N. McCRAE		
Date of Interview: <u>1/16/03</u> .	(S) CHRISTOPHER N.	McCRAE	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference			
c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊠ applicant 2)Ѿ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1,8-10			
Identification of prior art discussed: Renary / Wilen S			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

1/10/03

- I) The Applicant SHOWED a Power Point Presentation which fully explained on the applies trun Content.
- The Applicant explained The "Parsy" Technique and how

 The Out task system was the technique to pull specific

 Job related information and store it in a secretable and

 Manipulable manner.
- 3) The Exeminer Adviced the Applicant to better

 explain the Persing technique in the claims

 so as to differentiate it self from Reuning (US.G.381,582)

 ex.

 TAGGED INFORMATION FROM ORIGIN Source

 Metrics tracking and reporting OF Origin DATA

 Tracky and reporting Tesume information
- (d) The Applicant will take time to Rewrite The & Indquest Claims in order to better incorporate The Bustiese model Conterned in the Spectree time.